

Mr Fiola,

Good afternoon, I will address your response simply due to you stating that you had a problem following what I said in my last email. I am far from confused as to what was said nor was Mrs. Sahady or Mr. Hetzler and I'll explain.

A: Mrs. Sahady would not have asked to speak to me Wednesday night after the City Council budget meeting to say that "I got your email and I'm on your side, the mayor will not listen to me as to where these funds are allocated, there is a reason Paul Ferland was not allowed to speak and it's because his projects are expensive." **Chris, I never said the Mayor would not listen to me, I said Paul's projects could expend (use up) all of the funds and that is not the Mayor's intention to expend all of the funds on water and sewer infrastructure.**

B: A statement was made during the meeting after YOU were done speaking that "We can claim negligence and ask for forgiveness" I originally was not sure who made the comments, only that it came from someone sitting to my left. Mrs. Sahady after pulling me aside clarified Wednesday night that it was Mr. Hetzler that made the

---

statement. If the statement was made by Mr. Hetzler, he obviously heard everything that I did and interpreted your words the same way that I did. **Chris, Our conversation never even included Mr. Hetzler. Mr. Hetzler spoke about maybe new signage at the entrance of industrial park among a few other brainstorming comments.** The difference, I disagree with you and Mr. Hetzler. At no point in the allocation of these funds should we as a committee be taking risks or gambles, there are federal guidelines that need to be followed. **I did say I would be watching the expending of the funds and would not allow any expenditures that did not qualify as an eligible expense. As ultimately I'd be responsible.**

In closing, I apologize for not being an esquire as you signed off on your letter as such, but I was able to follow along and understand the points you were making during the meeting and honestly, they were shocking. Also, for clarification purposes, I WAS NOT the one to go to the media, so you and the Mayor may want to do some investigating as to who did. I only clarified the truth once I was asked for it a day later.

I will not go back and forth with you. I'm certain of every word you said and will stand by every statement and email that I send out. Yes, if the monies spent are not legitimate, regardless of a "closed door meeting" I am going to make people aware, it is my fiduciary responsibility. I hope you understand that as a Councillor, that is my job. I hope our next meeting is much more productive and we can sit and come up with ways to legitimately spend this money without having to worry about "asking for forgiveness"